

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/473,246	12/27/1999	MARK W. SCHAEFERA	012006-00254	9751
75	590 02/11/2002			
DAVID W BROWNLEE ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN 7 MELLOTT LLC 600 GRANT STREET 44TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			ANGEBRANNE	OT, MARTIN J
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		MF 12		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisom: Action	09/473,246	SCHAEFERA ET AL.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	Martin' J Angebranndt	1756		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 1/18/02 & 2/5/02 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment which eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in		
	REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		
Extensions of fine may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the corresponding amoust of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension or originally set in the final Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 February 2002</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	. Appellant's Brief must be filed v FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	vithin the period set forth in of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		•		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.		
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s): <u>double patenting rejections</u>	s over 6,006,415 and 5,881,444.		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ld be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment		
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: §	or reconsideration has been cons See Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	•			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) will not be entered or the would be rejected is provided bel	o)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .				
Claim(s) objected to: none.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	 ·		

Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1756

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims of the Mentz et al. '657 and the instant application are nearly identical. The only difference is the recited thickness of the resist and the hardness which are explicitly disclosed or implicitly taught based upon the hardening of the shims. To resolve this, the applicant must either amend the instant claims to step outside the envelope of coverage accorded the Mentz et al. '657 patent or should file a declaration under 37 CFR 1/608(b) as set forth in MPEP 2308.02 to provoke an interference with that patent document, the filing date of whic is accorded 11/26/1997,. Which is prior to the filing date of the instant application (12/12/1997). As both applicants are based in the US, boith parties have a right to provide evidence to support thier assertions of first to invent..